

register			B015353	LABORATORIO DI PROGETTAZIONE AMBIENTALE - A				Modulo Tecnologia	2019-20	
surname name gruppo			01 PROGRAM 15 %	02 CONCEPT 20%	03 DEVELOPEMENT 20%	04 DETAILING 15%	05 FINAL 30%	MAX POSSIBLE GRADE	TECH GRADE	COURS GRADE
	Arratibel Jareño	Alvaro	?	NC	NC	NC			13,5	RESPINTO
	Ayarza	Jone	?	NC	NC	NC			13,5	RESPINTO
	Chavez ramirez	Julio	?	NC	NC	NC			13,5	RESPINTO
	Sanchez	Irati	?	NC	NC	NC			13,5	RESPINTO
	Sarli	Arturo	?	NC	NC	NC			13,5	RESPINTO
	Terranova	Cristiana	?	NC	NC	NC			13,5	RESPINTO
12	Conti	Tiziana	01 Saharian Art	28	26,8	26,5	27,5		27,985	
	Marchini	Federica	01 Saharian Art	28	26,8	26,5	27,5		27,985	
	Gargiullo	Giulio	02.Warming Hut	26,5	27,5	26,5	27,5		27,9	
8	Lastrucci	Alessandro	02.Warming Hut	26,5	27,5	26,5	27,5		27,9	
	Marinelli	Alessia	03.Ban-Gioc	29,5	28	27	29		28,775	
	Ravagni	Elisa	03.Ban-Gioc	29,5	28	27	29		28,775	
6	Salutij	Elena	03.Ban-Gioc	29,5	28	27	29		28,775	
7	Di Leo	Federica	04.Iquitos Eco Lodge	27	29,8	29,2	30L		29,35	
	Mele	Federica	04.Iquitos Eco Lodge	27	29,8	29,2	30L		29,35	
	niccolai	matteo	05.Ahririvalley	30	28,8	28,8	29-		29,37	
	Salvi	Elisa	05.Ahririvalley	30	28,8	28,8	29-		29,37	
5	Tomiai	Miso	05.Ahririvalley	30	28,8	28,8	29-		29,37	
3	Forni	Bianca	06.Islanda	29,5	28,5	26,5	29		28,775	
	Massi	Flavia	06.Islanda	29,5	28,5	26,5	29		28,775	
	Monci	Caterina	06.Islanda	29,5	28,5	26,5	29		28,775	
14	Franchi	Lorenza	07.Vietnam	26,7	26,8	26,5	28,5		27,94	
	Gangemi	SerenaFior	07.Vietnam	26,7	26,8	26,5	28,5		27,94	
	Martini	Flavia	07.Vietnam	26,7	26,8	26,5	28,5		27,94	
	Noli	Federico	07.Vietnam	26,7	26,8	26,5	28,5		27,94	
	Fressoia	Tommaso	08.kailash Tibet	26,5	26,8	26,5	27,5		27,76	
	Giovi	Giorgia	08.kailash Tibet	26,5	26,8	26,5	27,5		27,76	
	Pistolesi	Camilla	08.kailash Tibet	26,5	26,8	26,5	27,5		27,76	
13	roseto	silvia	08.kailash Tibet	26,5	26,8	26,5	27,5		27,76	
11	Alessandrini	Monica	09.Floki	28	29	29,2	29,5		29,265	
	Cabiddu	Michela	09.Floki	28	29	29,2	29,5		29,265	
	Martini	Maria	09.Floki	28	29	29,2	29,5		29,265	
	Morelli	Eugenia	09.Flokil	28	29	29,2	29,5		29,265	

GRADE SPECIFICATION

• The Maximum Possible Rating is a projection taking into account the votes already acquired and multiplied by the corresponding Coefficients of Importance. In the calculation, NC has a value of zero and the votes that are still to be acquired are assumed as 30. Bonuses or penalties may be possible in the final evaluation.

• Students with his Maximum Possible Grade below 18 can not proceed in the course and are excluded from the final examination. As a consequence they need to attend the class next year.

register			B015353 LABORATORIO DI PROGETTAZIONE AMBIENTALE - A Modulo Tecnologia					2019-20		
			01 PROGRAM 15 %	02 CONCEPT 20%	03 DEVELOPEMENT 20%	04 DETAILING 15%	05 FINAL 30%	MAX POSSIBLE GRADE	TECH GRADE	COURS GRADE
surname	name	gruppo								
	Ruggieri	Chiara	10.Amazzonia	30	28,5	30	29		29,55	
	Scardino	Giuliana	10.Amazzonia	30	28,5	30	29		29,55	
2	Siqueira Vanassi	Camila	10.Amazzonia	30	28,5	30	29		29,55	
	Zedda	Alessandra	10.Amazzonia	30	28,5	30	29		29,55	
	Fazzi	Alberto	11.Darvaza	29	30	30	30L		29,85	
4	Gorini	Edoardo	11.Darvaza	29	30	30	30L		29,85	
	Mancineschi	Simone	11.Darvaza	29	30	30	30L		29,85	
	Adorno	Sara	12.Icactus	27,5	28	27,2	29,5		28,59	
	Borgarelli	Marco	12.Icactus	27,5	28	27,2	29,5		28,59	
16	Emilio	Giulia	12.Icactus	27,5	28	27,2	29,5		28,59	
	Azimi	Dorna	12.Icactus	27,5	28	27,2	28,5		28,44	
	Carmignani	Arianna	13.Landmannalaugar	26,8	28,5	27,8	28		28,48	
	Pizzo	Lorenzo	13.Landmannalaugar	26,8	28,5	27,8	28		28,48	
9	Purcareata	Andreea	13.Landmannalaugar	26,8	28,5	27,8	28		28,48	
1	Nencioni	Edoardo	14.Lysefjord	27,5	26,8	26,5	27		27,835	
	Ricci	Giacomo	14.Lysefjord	27,5	26,8	26,5	27		27,835	
	Vezzosi	Mirko	14.Lysefjord	27,5	26,8	26,5	27		27,835	
	Baldeschi	Guglielmo	15.Mekong	27	29	30	29		29,2	
	Carli	Elena	15.Mekong	27	29	30	29		29,2	
15	Cecchetti	Damiano	15.Mekong	27	29	30	29		29,2	
	Hoxhaj	Enxhi	16.Thorsteinsskáli	27	26,8	26,5	27,5		27,835	
	Procaccini	Alberto	16.Thorsteinsskáli	27	26,8	26,5	27,5		27,835	
	Malafarina	Lorenzo	16.Thorsteinsskáli	27	26,8	NC	NC		18,41	
17	Cococcioni	Costanza	17.Zabrniskie	26,5	26,5	26,7	29		27,965	
	Di Giovanni	Sofia	17.Zabrniskie	26,5	26,5	26,7	29		27,965	
10	Miceli	Luca	18.ZiwarhinoSanctuary	28	28,5	27	28		28,5	
	Rossi	Andrea	18.ZiwaRhinoSanctuary	28	28,5	27	28		28,5	
	Serangeli	Giacomo	18.ZiwaRhinoSanctuary	28	28,5	27	28		28,5	
	Vaccaro	Giovanni	18.ZiwaRhinoSanctuary	28	28,5	27	28		28,5	

GRADE SPECIFICATION

• The Maximum Possible Rating is a projection taking into account the votes already acquired and multiplied by the corresponding Coefficients of Importance. In the calculation, NC has a value of zero and the votes that are still to be acquired are assumed as 30. Bonuses or penalties may be possible in the final evaluation.

• Students with his Maximum Possible Grade below 18 can not proceed in the course and are excluded from the final examination. As a consequence they need to attend the class next year.